IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

JOHN FOLKS Petitioner;

V.
THOMAS CARROLL;
WARDEN, AND ATTORNEY
CEVERAL OF THE STATE
OF DELAWARE;
Respondents.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 07-334 XXX



PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR DEFAULT IN SUPPORT OF HIS HABEAS CORPUS PETITION, PUTSUANT TO RULE 55 OF THE RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

Now, comes the Petitioner, John Folks, who request that this honorable court deny the State's second extension and decide Petitioner's HABERS Corpus motion based on the Petitioner's Petitioner's Memorandum of Law and Petitioner's two Amended motions.

Pursuant to RULE 6, Governing Rules of Civil Procedure,
the court may award an extended time Period, when by these
Rules or by a Notice given there under or by order of Court an

case 1:07-cv-00334-JJF Document 28 at Filed 10/11/2007 a page entire time, act is required or allowed to be done at Filed 10/11/2007 a page entire time, act is required or allowed to be done at Filed 10/11/2007 a page entire time, act is required or allowed to be done at Filed 10/11/2007 a page entire time, act is required to be done at Filed 10/11/2007 a page entire time, act is required to be done at Filed 10/11/2007 a page entire time, act is required to be done at any time in its discretion, around an extended time Reviol.

6 (b). .-

(1) with or without Notice or motion order the Reniod enlarged if request is therefore made before the expiration of the Reriod Prescribed or as extended by a frevious order; or (2) upon motion made after the expiration of the specified Period Remit the act to be done where the failure to act was the result of Exwable neglect, but it may not extend the time for Taking any action under Rules 50(b), (C)(Q), 52(b), (D) and (e).

THE Petitioner argues that the state is abosing the court's discretion, because the state has the Legal experience, excessive resources and overall capabilities to have Ruffined its obligation to respond there's no excusable neglect appropriate in this content to remedy such an disregard. The Petitioner argues that he is a Layman, and the State is taking advantage of that fact. the state was initially ordered to respond by 8-27-07, and then given an extension until 10-12-07, there should not be any more extensions granted to the state and the letitioner humbly ask that this honorable court not Grant thom another and are not be extension betitioner based on the Petitioner's Petitioner's

Case 1:07-cv-00334-JJF Document 28 Filed 10/16/2007 Page 3 of 3 memorandum of Law and letitioners two Amended motions to letitioners Memorandum of Law.

Respectfully submitted

Date: 10-14-02

John Polles John Folks - Pro-se D.C.C., 1181 Paldock Rd. SMINA, Del. 19972